

Persistent Currents From the Competition
Between Zeeman Coupling and Spin-Orbit Interaction

Tie-Zheng Qian, Ya-Sha Yi and Zhao-Bin Su

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica,
P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China*

Applying the non-adiabatic Aharonov-Anandan phase approach to a mesoscopic ring with non-interacting many electrons in the presence of the spin-orbit interaction, Zeeman coupling and magnetic flux, we show that the time-reversal symmetry breaking due to Zeeman coupling is intrinsically different from that due to magnetic flux. We find that the direction of the persistent currents induced by the Zeeman coupling changes periodically with the particle number, while the magnetic flux determines the direction of the induced currents by its sign alone.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Bz, 02.40.+m, 71.70.E

The persistent currents in multiply connected mesoscopic systems have attracted much attention in recent years [1]. Conventionally, the persistent currents are produced by applying the magnetic flux to the system and regarded as one of the famous manifestations of the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effect. Through a standard current-current coupling, the AB effect only involves the orbital degree of freedom. Since electrons have spin as well as charge, it is therefore of interest to study if the spin degree of freedom can play some effective and significant role in the persistent current phenomena.

Recently, based on the discovery of the geometric phase [2], many authors have investigated the persistent currents induced by the geometric phases which originate from the interplay between electrons' orbital and spin degrees of freedom. Such interplay can be maintained by external electric and magnetic fields, which lead to spin-orbit (SO) interaction and Zeeman coupling respectively. Loss *et al.* studied the textured ring embedded in inhomogeneous magnetic field [3]. On the other hand, Meir *et al.* showed that the SO interaction in one-dimensional rings results in an effective magnetic flux [4]. Mathur and Stone [5] then pointed out that observable phenomena induced by the SO interaction are the manifestations of the Aharonov-Casher (AC) effect [6] in electronic systems. Balatsky and Altshuler [7], Choi [8] and Oh and Ryu [9] studied the persistent currents produced by the AC effect.

So far the effects of the SO interaction and Zeeman coupling on the persistent currents have only been discussed separately. As is well known, the SO interaction and Zeeman coupling are of quite different time-reversal transformation properties. The SO interaction is time-reversal invariant and results in the AC flux which induces the charge current in each single-particle electronic state. However, at finite temperature the thermal-equilibrium persistent currents always vanish in systems which possess the time-reversal symmetry (TRS). On the other hand, the Zeeman coupling breaks the TRS. In particular, the finite-temperature persistent current is essentially a manifestation of the breaking of the TRS due to certain external influence. We therefore expect that in a many-electron system the Zeeman coupling can induce the persistent currents by competing with the SO

interaction which can not produce the finite-temperature persistent current by itself but provides a complete set of current-carrying single-particle states. This implies that the Zeeman coupling can induce the persistent currents in a way which is totally independent of its inhomogeneity that results in the geometric phase [3].

The purpose of this paper is to reveal the role of the TRS in the persistent current phenomena when the spin degree of freedom is fully taken into account explicitly. We focus on the low-temperature persistent charge currents in a non-interacting many-electron ring in the presence of *both* the Zeeman coupling and SO interaction. As shown by Kravtsov and Zirnbauer [10], the AB flux can induce the persistent currents by lifting the Kramers degeneracy which in general exists in time-reversal invariant systems with odd number of electrons. In this paper, we demonstrate that in the presence of the SO interaction which induces the currents opposite in direction but equal in magnitude in the two degenerate single-particle states of each Kramers doublet, as a result of the simultaneous spin and orbital quantum number dependence of the energy value and current magnitude for each doublet, the TRS breaking mechanism due to the Zeeman coupling is intrinsically different from that due to the AB flux and accordingly significant observable effects for the persistent currents exist. The paper is organized as follows. First, the exact solution of the Schrödinger equation for the system in presence of the SO interaction, Zeeman coupling and AB flux simultaneously is derived in an approach based on the concepts of spin cyclic evolution and the corresponding Aharonov-Anandan (AA) phase [11,12]. Then, with the explicit expression of the persistent currents derived, the TRS breaking effects of the Zeeman coupling and AB flux upon the persistent currents are studied and compared with each other. It is found that the direction of the currents induced by the Zeeman coupling changes periodically with the particle number, while the AB flux determines the direction of the induced currents by its sign alone. Throughout the discussion, we emphasize that the observability of the above mentioned symmetry breaking effects can only be ensured in systems with a strong SO interaction that can produce an AC phase of order unity. We also point out that the zero-temperature and low-temperature persistent currents derived for the perfect rings does

not change qualitatively if disordered rings are considered. As the observed current magnitude in a strongly interacting GaAs-AlGaAs ring agrees well with the theoretical result for a non-interacting system without disorder [13], we finally have a numerical estimation for a ring formed by two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) on a semiconductor heterostructure, which provides a strong effective electric field and makes experimental observation possible.

For a 1D ring lying in the xy plane with its center at the origin, in the presence of both electric and magnetic fields $\mathbf{E} = E(\cos \chi_1 \mathbf{e}_r - \sin \chi_1 \mathbf{e}_z)$, $\mathbf{B} = B(\sin \chi_2 \mathbf{e}_r + \cos \chi_2 \mathbf{e}_z)$ in the cylindrical coordinate system, the one-particle Hamiltonian for non-interacting electrons is given by

$$H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e a^2} \left[-i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \phi + \alpha (\sin \chi_1 \sigma_r + \cos \chi_1 \sigma_z) \right]^2 + \frac{\hbar \omega_B}{2} (\sin \chi_2 \sigma_r + \cos \chi_2 \sigma_z), \quad (1)$$

with $\sigma_r = \sigma_x \cos \theta + \sigma_y \sin \theta$, $\alpha = -\frac{eaE}{4m_e c^2}$ and $\omega_B = -\frac{geB}{2m_e c}$, where a is the ring radius, θ is the angular coordinate and ϕ is the enclosed AB flux in unit of flux quantum. The exact eigenfunctions $\Psi_{n,\mu}$ and eigenvalues $E_{n,\mu}$ of Hamiltonian (1) are obtained as follows

$$\Psi_{n,\mu}(\theta) = \exp(in\theta) \tilde{\psi}_{n,\mu}(\theta) / \sqrt{2\pi}; \quad \mu = \pm, \quad (2)$$

$$\text{with } \tilde{\psi}_{n,+}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\beta_n}{2} \\ e^{i\theta} \sin \frac{\beta_n}{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\psi}_{n,-}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \frac{\beta_n}{2} \\ -e^{i\theta} \cos \frac{\beta_n}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$E_{n,\mu} = \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{2} (n + \phi)^2 + \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{2} (\alpha^2 - \alpha \cos \chi_1) + \frac{\hbar \omega_n}{2} (1 - \mu \cos \beta_n) + \mu \alpha \hbar \omega_n \cos(\beta_n - \chi_1) + \frac{\mu \hbar \omega_B}{2} \cos(\beta_n - \chi_2), \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_n = (n + \phi + \frac{1}{2})\omega_0$ and β_n is given by

$$\tan \beta_n = \frac{2\alpha \omega_n \sin \chi_1 + \omega_B \sin \chi_2}{2\alpha \omega_n \cos \chi_1 + \omega_B \cos \chi_2 - \omega_n}. \quad (4)$$

From the eigenfunctions (3), the persistent charge currents of the single-particle states can be expressed as

$$J_{n,\mu} = n + \phi - (\Phi_{AA}^\mu + \Phi_{SO}^\mu) / 2\pi, \quad (5)$$

where $\Phi_{AA}^\mu = -\pi(1 - \mu \cos \beta_n)$ is the geometric AA phase and $\Phi_{SO}^\mu = -2\mu\pi\alpha \cos(\beta_n - \chi_1)$ the dynamical phase contributed by the SO interaction [11,12].

For $\phi = 0$ and $\omega_B = 0$, Eqs. (3), (4) and (5) yield

$$E_{n,\mu}^0 = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2}\left(n - \frac{\Phi_{AC}^\mu}{2\pi}\right)^2, \quad J_{n,\mu}^0 = n - \frac{\Phi_{AC}^\mu}{2\pi} \quad (6)$$

where the AC phase $\Phi_{AC}^\mu = -\pi(1 + 2\mu p)$ is the sum of the AA phase Φ_{AA}^μ and dynamical phase Φ_{SO}^μ , with $p = \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \alpha \cos \chi_1 + \frac{1}{4}}$ and $\Phi_{AC}^+ + \Phi_{AC}^- = -2\pi$ [12]. This gives the single-particle Kramers doublet: $(\Psi_{n,\mu}^0, \Psi_{-n-1,-\mu}^0)$ with the degeneracy $E_{n,\mu}^0 = E_{-n-1,-\mu}^0$ and the current relation $J_{n,\mu}^0 = -J_{-n-1,-\mu}^0$, in which n is the orbital quantum number while μ is for spin. Due to this single-particle Kramers degeneracy, the entire set of energy eigenstates can be divided into two subsets $\{E_{n,\mu}; \mu = \pm\}$, mutually degenerate to each other with one to one correspondence. For purpose of later application, we divide p into its integer part m and fractional part f ($-0.5 \leq f < 0.5$). We note that if $\Phi_{AC}^\mu = 0$ or $\pi(\text{mod } 2\pi)$, i.e., $f = -0.5$ or 0 , then additional degeneracy appears and in each branch $\{E_{n,\mu}^0\}$ the two single-particle eigenstates with opposite currents become degenerate. Actually, such additional degeneracy is lifted by any weak disorder and consequently each of the single-particle states carries zero current, as illustrated in Fig. 1. As we will see later, so long as the SO interaction is strong enough to result in an AC phase of order unity, a complete set of current-carrying single-particle states resulting from the fractional f is essential to the observability of the low-temperature persistent currents that the Zeeman coupling or AB flux induces by lifting of the Kramers degeneracy. Besides the generation of single-particle currents, the fractional part of the AC flux also plays an interesting role in the filling of the Fermi sea of the mesoscopic rings.

For small ϕ and ω_B , the eigenvalues are of the form

$$E_{n,\mu} = E_{n,\mu}^0 + \mu\hbar\omega_B q/2p + \hbar\omega_0(n + 1/2 + \mu p)\phi, \quad (7)$$

with $q = \alpha \cos(\chi_1 - \chi_2) - \frac{1}{2} \cos \chi_2$. The persistent current $J_{n,\mu}$ also changes from $J_{n,\mu}^0$. But this only leads to high-order correction to the many-electron thermal-equilibrium persistent

currents we will derive. Using Eqs. (5), (6) and (7), we can easily derive the low-temperature charge currents for small ϕ and ω_B in the non-interacting many-electron ring. For particle number M , there are three cases with $M = 2N$, $M = 4N + 1$ and $M = 4N + 3$ respectively, where N is an arbitrary integer. We find the charge current for $M = 2N$ is always zero when $\phi = 0$ and $\omega_B = 0$, even at zero temperature. This is actually a result of the Kramers theorem which states that the Kramers degeneracy due to the TRS only exists for odd M . Accordingly, the even M ground state at $\phi = 0$ and $\omega_B = 0$ is a singlet which carries no net current. It can be deduced that the dependence of the even M zero-temperature currents on the TRS breaking couplings is smooth and analytical. Kravtsov and Zirnbauer [10] have shown further that, for odd M electronic systems, the AB flux lifts the Kramers degeneracy and induces the persistent currents which vary discontinuously with the flux at zero temperature.

For $M = 4N + 1$ and $4N + 3$, if $\omega_B \ll N\omega_0$, $\phi \ll 1$, and $T \ll N\hbar\omega_0/k_B$, we can consider only two single-particle states at and near the Fermi level to derive the currents because other states are much higher in energy. One is the highest occupied state $\Psi_{n_F, \rho}$ and the other is the lowest unoccupied state $\Psi_{-n_F-1, -\rho}$. These two states form the Kramers doublet as ω_B and ϕ equal to zero. Denoting their energies as $\epsilon_{\pm} = \epsilon_0 \pm \epsilon$ and currents as $J_{\pm} = \pm J$, we have the thermal-equilibrium currents

$$\langle J \rangle = -J \tanh(\epsilon/k_B T) \quad (8)$$

To justify the above simplification, the energy level spacing between $E_{n_F, \rho}$ and $E_{-n_F-1, -\rho}$ must be much smaller than the unperturbed spacing between $E_{n_F, \rho}^0$ (or $E_{-n_F-1, -\rho}^0$) and its nearest neighbor in energy in the branch $\{E_{n_F, \rho}^0\}$ (or $\{E_{-n_F-1, -\rho}^0\}$). The level spacing of the latter kind is approximately $2|n_F|\hbar\omega_0\bar{f}$ for large $|n_F| \approx N$ with $\bar{f}$ the smaller of $|f|$ and $0.5 - |f|$, and it almost vanishes at $\Phi_{AC}^{\mu} = 0$ and $\pi(\text{mod } 2\pi)$ if the ring is weakly disordered. So, in general, besides the generation of single-particle currents, the strong SO interaction which results in the AC phase of order unity is also necessary to the validity of the above two-state approximation. We depict the current-carrying characteristic of the single-particle states

and their level spacing in Fig. 1 to illustrate these two essential elementary facts which result from the AC phase of order unity and constitute the basis of the following derivation.

Using Eqs. (6) and (7), for the system with Hamiltonian (1) and odd M non-interacting electrons, we obtain the thermal-equilibrium persistent currents

$$\langle J \rangle_M = -J_{F,+} \tanh\left\{\frac{1}{k_B T} \left[\frac{q}{2p} \hbar \omega_B + \hbar \omega_0 J_{F,+} \phi\right]\right\}, \quad (9)$$

with $J_{F,+} = n_F^+ + \frac{1}{2} + p$, where for $M = 4N + 1$, $n_F^+ = N - m$ if $-0.5 < f < 0$ or $n_F^+ = -N - 1 - m$ if $0 < f < 0.5$; and for $M = 4N + 3$, $n_F^+ = -N - 1 - m$ if $-0.5 < f < 0$ or $n_F^+ = N - m$ if $0 < f < 0.5$. In deriving the two alternate cases of M , the filling with respect to the splitting of the Kramer doublet plays a crucial role and will be explained below. As T approaches 0^+ , the dependences of $\langle J \rangle_{4N+1}$ and $\langle J \rangle_{4N+3}$ on ϕ and ω_B both tend to step-functions.

For large N , we see $J_{F,+}$ changes its sign from $M = 4N + 1$ to $4N + 3$, but with its magnitude almost the same. This leads to $\langle J \rangle_{4N+1} \approx -\langle J \rangle_{4N+3}$ for $\phi = 0$, and $\langle J \rangle_{4N+1} \approx \langle J \rangle_{4N+3}$ for $\omega_B = 0$. So there exists an interesting and striking difference between the symmetry breaking effects of the Zeeman coupling and AB flux. The origin of such difference is that when lifting the Kramers degeneracy, the Zeeman coupling chooses the ground state with a specific spin orientation while the AB flux chooses the ground state with a specific current direction, as illustrated in Fig. 2. This is obviously presented in Eq. (7) in which the energy shift comprises the two parts. One is of the form $\mu \hbar \omega_B$ in which only spin orientation matters while the other is of the form $J_n \phi$ in which only the sign of the current matters in finding the state with lower energy. Hence, under the influence of the AB flux, the sign of the ground state current never changes with the particle number. But for the Zeeman coupling, instead of the sign of the current, the spin orientation of the ground state remains the same. We can understand why the Zeeman coupling makes the current sign change periodically with the particle number as follows. Suppose the single-particle state at the Fermi level is $\Psi_{n_F, \rho}$, with $\rho = +$ if $q\omega_B/p < 0$ (or $\rho = -$ if $q\omega_B/p > 0$), when adding two electrons to the system, first the single-particle state $\Psi_{-n_F-1, -\rho}$ just above the Fermi level

is occupied. The second state that becomes occupied is $\Psi_{m_F, \rho}$ and m_F is determined by the condition that $E_{m_F, \rho}^0$ is just above $E_{n_F, \rho}^0$ in the branch $\{E_{n, \rho}^0\}$. In short, when adding the electrons two by two, it is effectively to let the single-particle states in $\{\Psi_{n, \rho}\}$ occupied one by one according to the single-particle energy levels $\{E_{n, \rho}\}$ which are only different from $\{E_{n, \rho}^0\}$ by a uniform shift $\rho \hbar \omega_{BQ}/p$. This picture is true even if the ring is disordered. It is easy to verify from Eq.(6) that due to the non-integer part of $\Phi_{AC}^\rho/2\pi$, the electron filling is not fully symmetric with respect to the quantum number $n + \rho m$. More explicitly, the fraction $1/2 + \rho f$ plays an interesting role which makes the orbital quantum number n_F of the top electron take the values $N - \rho m$ and $-N - 1 - \rho m$ for the two alternate cases of odd M respectively. As a result, for large $|n_F|$, $m_F \cong -n_F$. This is to say that the ground state current changes its sign periodically with the particle number and such sign dependence can survive at low temperature.

So far our results have been obtained for non-interacting perfect and disordered rings. It is worthwhile to further investigate the influence of electron-electron interaction on the results. For the persistent currents in a strongly interacting GaAs-AlGaAs ring, the observed current magnitude is in good agreement with the theoretical prediction for a non-interacting system without disorder [13]. We therefore expect our results are at least qualitatively and probably quantitatively related to some real systems with very weak disorder.

We make a numerical estimation for a InAs ring [14]. The Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H_{\text{InAs}} = \frac{1}{2m}(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e\mathbf{A}}{c})^2 + \hbar\kappa[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{p}]_z - \frac{ge\hbar}{4mc}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad (10)$$

where $m = 0.023m_e$ is the effective mass, $\hbar^2\kappa = 6.0 \times 10^{-10}\text{eVcm}$ is the SO coefficient and $g = 15$. Here the effective electric field is in the z-direction, hence $\chi_1 = \pi/2$. For the loop of radius $a = 1\mu\text{m}$, the dimensionless coefficient α is found to be $m\alpha\kappa = 1.8$ which is large enough to result in an AC phase of order unity [12]. The Fermi velocity v_F is approximately $3 \times 10^7\text{cms}^{-1}$, corresponding to $|n_F| \approx 60$ and $I_F = ev_F/2\pi a \approx 8\text{nA}$. Using the exact solution in Eqs. (2), (3) and (4), we numerically compute the charge currents due to the Zeeman coupling in the non-interacting system with fixed particle number. The dependence

of the currents on the magnetic field is depicted in Fig. 3 for various temperatures, with the zero-temperature limit as a step function. The striking dependence of the sign or the direction of the charge currents on the particle number is also depicted in Fig. 3. We expect these phenomena can be observed in experiments within the reach of existing technology.

In conclusion, we have shown that in the presence of strong SO interaction, the time-reversal symmetry breaking due to the Zeeman coupling is intrinsically different from that due to the AB flux. We have found that the direction of the persistent currents induced by the Zeeman coupling changes periodically with the particle number, while the AB flux determines the direction of the induced currents by its sign alone.

T. Z. Qian and Y. S. Yi would like to thank Prof. C. L. Wang for kind help.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Büttiker, Y. Imry and R. Landauer, Phys. Lett. **96A**, 365 (1983); Y. Imry, in *Directions in Condensed Matter Physics*, edited by G. Grinstein and G. Mazenko (World Scientific, Singapore, 1986).
- [2] M. V. Berry, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **A 392**, 45(1984); *Geometric phases in Physics*, edited by A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- [3] D. Loss, P. Goldbart and A. V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1655 (1990); D. Loss and P. M. Goldbart, Phys. Rev. **B 45**, 13544 (1992).
- [4] Y. Meir, Y. Gefen and O. Entin-Wohlman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 798 (1989); O. Entin-Wohlman, Y. Gefen, Y. Meir and Y. Oreg, Phys. Rev. **B 45**, 11890 (1992).
- [5] H. Mathur and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2964 (1992); H. Mathur and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. **B 44**, 10957 (1991).
- [6] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 319 (1984).
- [7] A. V. Balatsky and B. L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1678 (1993).
- [8] M. Y. Choi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2987 (1993).
- [9] S. Oh and C. M. Ryu, Phys. Rev. **B 51**, 13441 (1995).
- [10] V. E. Kravtsov and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 4332 (1992).
- [11] Y. Aharonov and J. Anandan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1593 (1987).
- [12] T. Z. Qian and Z. B. Su, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2311 (1994).
- [13] D. Mailly, C. Chapelier and A. Benoit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2020 (1993).
- [14] A. G. Aronov and Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 343 (1993); Y. Lyanda-Geller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 657 (1993).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The current-carrying single-particle states and their level spacing caused by the AC phase of order unity. $\pm 2\pi\delta = \Phi_{AC}^{\pm}(\text{mod } 2\pi)$. The vertical and horizontal arrows indicate the spin orientation and current direction respectively.

FIG. 2. The single-particle energy levels in the presence of SO interaction only and their shift caused by AB flux and Zeeman coupling.

FIG. 3. The charge currents in the InAs ring. The dashed and dashed-dotted lines are for $M = 4N + 1$ and $M = 4N + 3$ at $T = 10\text{mK}$. The solid and dotted lines are for $M = 4N + 1$ and $M = 4N + 3$ at $T = 100\text{mK}$.